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Introduction
The New Caledonian landscape is a mosaic of colonial enterprise. Between 1864 and the end of the transportation at the turn of the 20th century, around 30,000 deportees were sent to the Pacific Island to establish and populate the French colony. This created a cultural landscape across parts of Grande Terre and Isle of Pins in which built and archaeological heritage and landscape features reflect the diverse social and economic relationships that underpinned the establishment and growth of the penal colony (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Map of New Caledonia showing location of places mentioned in the text.](image)

New Caledonia’s Southern Province Government recently held its first heritage conservation workshop to provide an initial focus for the development of cultural heritage conservation policy and legislation. Since the ethnic tensions and independence movement of the 1980s there has been increasing awareness of the need to reconcile the histories of the Kanak and Caldoche (New Caledonians of European descent) (Sand et al. 2006a) and the other ethnic communities of this multi-cultural country to move forward – perhaps to independence – with a shared ‘national’ identity. New Caledonia is an overseas territory of France known as a ‘territorial collectivity’ since the signing of the Noumea Accord in 1998. The Southern Province is home to the country’s capital, Noumea, and a large percentage of the non-indigenous population who make up New Caledonia’s rich ethnic diversity. The Caldoche represent around 35 per cent of the total population and as many as 80 per cent of the Caldoche have convict ancestry (Sand et al. 2006b).

It is only in relatively recent times that a small number of the convict sites in New Caledonia have been actively managed as heritage places. With the enthusiasm of local communities and limited government support some have undergone substantial restoration although not within a coordinated approach and without the research and guidance of conservation management plans.

Many convict heritage sites, in particular those constructed as prisons or for prison-related functions are evocative and decaying ruins in the landscape, commonly in areas of heavy vegetation and with little visitor access or interest. Few, if any, have been systematically recorded. There are many other convict built structures – churches, government buildings, missions, bridges and roads however the number of these places and the extent of convict involvement in their construction and use are unclear. To our knowledge, this has not been an area of historical research.

Some tourists do visit convict sites but the tourism industry of New Caledonia strongly promotes the ‘white sand and sun’ resort images common throughout the South Pacific. The cultural heritage of New Caledonia, and in particular the historic heritage, has a minimal presence in the tourism materials for New Caledonia. Most convict heritage places have no on-site interpretation.

In this paper we propose that recording, appropriate management and interpretation of this colonial heritage offers the opportunity for recognition of the historical contributions of Kanak and Caldoche and the other minority ethnic communities of New Caledonia to the emerging shared national identity. Through discussion of several prominent New Caledonia convict sites, we suggest that achieving this requires a holistic approach to recording, conserving and interpreting the diverse expressions of the early colonial heritage as a cultural landscape. Such an approach has the potential to yield insights into the various elements of the colonial system, the diverse communities and cultures in New Caledonia during the convict period and their differing experiences in the colony during and following the cessation of transportation.

French transportation and New Caledonian convict heritage sites
The transportation of convicts to New Caledonia began in 1864, 11 years after the French annexed the islands of Le Grand Terre and the tiny Isle of Pins to create the South Pacific colony of New Caledonia in 1853. France was determined to create a new French society in the Pacific.

From the outset the French government considered the islands to be a potential penal settlement, an alternative to French Guiana that had been established in 1852, but had an unacceptably high convict death rate from tropical diseases (Aldrich 1990:144). Prior to the commencement of transportation to New Caledonia, the French Government sought to attract free settlers to the island to establish the colony but the French were reluctant colonizers and little had been achieved by 1864 when the first convicts arrived in the south of La Grande Terre near small port town of Noumea the centre of the French colonial administration established as a trading settlement in the early 1840s.
France consciously drew on the British experience of convict transportation to its Australian colonies although by the time transportation to New Caledonia began Britain had ceased sending prisoners to New South Wales and Tasmania and transportation to Western Australia ceased in 1868. France adopted the Australian model of establishing penal settlements to both lay the foundations of a new society and to rid the homeland of criminals (Merle 1996:24).

To achieve this, in 1854 the French government had introduced a system of sentencing for convicted criminals known as doubleje whereby those prisoners who received sentences of more than eight years hard labour were exiled for life and those with a lesser sentence, once freed, were obliged to remain overseas for a period equivalent to that of their sentence, effectively doubling the length of their sentence. According to historian Isabelle Merle (1996:24),

Permanent exile or doubleje formed the keystone of a system which did not abandon hopes for reform of prisoners and indeed hoped to make rehabilitation possible and permanent – though in a place far removed from mainland France.

Roughly 30 per cent of deportés to New Caledonia died before gaining their freedom, the vast majority never returned to France.

The site of Nouville immediately west of Noumea was established as an early camp site for convicts and is one of four New Caledonian convict sites that are regularly visited by tourists, albeit in small numbers. Originally located in the small island of Ile Nou, the camp would over the next quarter of a century become the largest penitentiary site in New Caledonia and the administrative centre for the convict system. Ile Nou has since been joined to mainland Grand Terre creating ‘Nouville’.

The heritage site is extensive, comparable in area with the site of Port Arthur in Tasmania although with many more structures, not all of which date to the convict period. The site is used for a variety of purposes. Some structures have been in continuous use throughout the post-convict period, while others such as the convict built store, now a theatre have had recently conservation works. There is however no overall planning for or systematic management of the site’s heritage values. In recent years there have been some conservation or restoration works to particular buildings and features but these have been done on an ad hoc basis, without prior detailed recording or the development of a conservation management plan. Early engravings and photographs indicate the evolution of the site from camps to permanent structures during the period of transportation. The chronology of the buildings or stages in their construction is unclear but some early structures remain such as the Boulangerie, constructed in 1868 (Figure 2). The Boulangerie was refurbished in the 1950s, covering the large domed ovens to the rear of the site. Recent archaeological work has removed these additions to the building revealing the grand oven structures with the aim of opening the site for visitors (Sand et al. 2006b:10).

The administrative buildings and officers quarters appear to be in good condition as does the commandant’s house but the prison barracks, standing in rows below the officer’s quarters, have been significantly altered and some demolished. Although these remaining suggest the original layout, the extent to which original fabric survives is unclear. Isle Nou was the administrative centre for an economic and social system that encompassed colonial Grand Terre and the site has the potential to demonstrate many aspects of the convict system and how these changed over time. However Nouville is just one of several large convict heritage sites in close proximity to Noumea. The city sits within a cultural landscape patterned by convict labour. This is now in danger of being obliterated by urban expansion.

The convict system in New Caledonia was both a closed and open institution whereby some convicts were interned and others were distributed to work camps throughout the islands, building roads and bridges, quarrying stone, cutting timber and, after 1880, hired out to privately owned nickel mines. In the early years of the colony convicts mainly lived and worked in open agricultural settlements. Following the revolt of the Indigenous Kanak in 1875, many settlements became fortified and the ongoing forced removal of Kanak from much of the south of Grand Terre accelerated (Merle 1996:29). For the

Figure 2. Boulangerie, Nouville. (Photo: A. Smith 2006)

Figure 3. Fort Teremba, Grand Terre. (Photo: K. Buckley 2006)
The convict population transported to New Caledonia consisted of three major groups – over 22,000 convicted offenders, nearly 4000 habitual offenders and nearly 4000 political prisoners. The majority of the political prisoners were sentenced for having taken part in the Paris Commune of 1871 (Stephen 2001). This citizen's protest against the French Government's truce with the Prussian army following the four month siege of Paris in the winter of 1870-1871 ended with the death of some 25,000 Parisians and the arrest of many thousands more. The approximately 35,000 military trials of the Communnard resulted in 4500 being sentenced to deportation to the penal colony of New Caledonia, only twenty of which were women (Merle 1998:30). It is from the writings of the Communnard that much of the popular understanding of the New Caledonian convict experience is drawn.

As political prisoners the Communnard could not be subject to hard labour and French law stipulated that the government provide them with food, shelter and medical care. The expectation of the French authorities was that in New Caledonia they would become farmers although almost all were from the city. They were educated people, artisans, artists, shopkeepers, factory workers, labourers, writers and teachers (Aldrich 1990:145). In the colony they were penniless and refused to cooperate with the administration.

The Communnard were mainly sent to the Ducos Peninsula, near Noumea, and to the Isle of Pins where they built crude shelters and some established gardens. From 1871-1874 they were able to move freely within designated areas, associating with and purchasing food from the Kanak. However following the escape of a group of six on board a ship bound for Sydney in 1874, new rules strictly curtailed their movement (Bullard 1997).

We know most about life in the prison colony, or at least the period 1871-1880, from the extensive writings of the Communnard – their letters, memoirs and the variety of newspapers they produced while in exile, in which they continued to articulate their responses to the uprising, the French authorities and the reality of exile. Of the 241 Communnard who died during their time in New Caledonia, many were said to have died of nostalgia, longing for their loved ones and for France.

During the decade following the Paris Commune, the government of the Third Republic in France (1870-1914) moved away from a monarchist leaning to republicanism and in

---

**Figure 4.** Communnard Cemetery, Isle of Pins. (Photo A. Smith 2006)
1879 granted the Commundr a partial amnesty, followed by a
general amnesty in 1881 and repatriation to France of all but
140 still in New Caledonia.

The convict experiences of the Commundr have become a
celebratory, heroic history that is memorialised in the
Commundr Graveyard on the Isle of Pins – one of the few
convict sites to have on-site interpretation (Figure 4). The
Cemetery is said to contain the graves of nearly 200 of the
Commundr. The site was re-constructed in the 1970s by a
community group from Noumea, the graves being neatly
marked by rows of stones and enclosed by a white timber
fence. The original layout and the extent of the cemetery are
unclear. The position of two early grave monuments on the site
suggests the original alignment of the graves was somewhat
different to that now in evidence. Interpretation of the site
stresses the hardship faced by the Commundr during their
imprisonment, their longing for home and sadness of their
deaths far from France.

While there is no question the Commundr suffered hardships
in New Caledonia, their longing for home was no doubt shared
by many, if not all, convicts, perhaps all the more poignant
for the great majority who would never return home. Although we
know most about the New Caledonian convict experience from
the writings of the Commundr, their background, their
eriences as political prisoners, in particular being exempt
from hard labour and then finally being repatriated to France,
set them apart from the vast majority of convicts. Many of the
Caloche in New Caledonia have convict ancestry and yet the
only heritage site memorialising the hardship of convict life
celebrates the lives of a group who would have few
descendants in New Caledonia.

Of the more that 50,000 deportés to arrive in New Caledonia,
the overwhelming majority were men. Most were from mainland
France however the remainder included convicts of Italian,
Spanish and North African origin. At present the diversity of
nethnicity, culture and religion in the convict population is not
considered in the limited interpretative material available at the
convict sites.

Particularly emblematic of this diversity were the Arab convicts
of North African, primarily Algerian, origin. Algeria was a French
colony from 1830 until independence in 1963. A map of the
convict settlements on the Isle of Pins indicates the western
side of the island was divided into five Commune from south to
north. These suggest distinctions based at least in part on
ethnicity were made in the housing and treatment of convicts.
In the large southern most Commune is the island's French
administration centre, the settlement of Ouro (discussed below)
and the Commundr Cemetery. The northernmost area is
simply labelled 'Camp des Arabes'. We have been informed
that there are no known standing structures or ruins that
specifically identify the site of an Arab camp. The descendents
of Arab deportees retain a strong community identity in
present-day New Caledonian society. Many still live in the
vicinity of Bourail, established in 1869 as a convict village for
those who had completed their prison term. Clarifying the
location and any surviving built or archaeological evidence of
the 'Arab camp' on the Isle of Pins or elsewhere is a priority for
future research.

The later period of transportation from 1881 until its cessation
in 1897 saw the incarceration of prisoners intensify. Alongside
the agricultural settlements appeared large scale structures
such as the Penitentiary at Ouro on the Isle of Pins. These are

the most visible remains in the landscape today and reflect not
only the large number of convicts in the colony by this time and
the severity of their punishment but also an intensification
and diversification of the economic system run on convict labour.

For those tourists staying in the many resorts in the Isle of Pins
or visiting the island from the regular cruise ships, the Penitentiary
and nearby Commundr Cemetery are likely to be their only
tangible experience of New Caledonia's convict heritage.

The Penitentiary was constructed in several phases, the most
recent being the construction of the high surrounding walls
c.1881 and contemporaneous construction of cell blocks
within the walls, of which four remain standing (Figure 5). The
site has not been conserved beyond regular clearance of
vegetation to permit access. The standing structures are
missing roofs and the walls are in various stages of decay.
Overall the absence of intervention at the site creates an
evocative if somewhat romantic sense of history for the tourists
who visit the site although the tiny size and lack of light in some
of the isolation cells paint a stark picture of the reality of
imprisonment during this period. There is no on-site
interpretation beyond a rough sign at the entrance 'The
vestiges of deportation 1870–1913'.

The tourists who visit the penitentiary unfortunately do not see
the bewildering array of ruins in the surrounding landscape
hidden by dense vegetation (Figure 6). These structures give an

Figure 5. Cell blocks, Penitentiary, Ouro, Isle of Pins. (Photo: A. Smith 2006)

Figure 6. Ruins, Ouro, Isle of Pins. (Photo: A. Smith 2006)
indication of the massive scale of the convict settlement and the complexity of the system required to maintain this colonial economy. During our short visit to the area we noted the ruins of a great number of buildings. Some such as those identified by our guide as the hospital and the officer’s quarters are very large while others are modest. To our knowledge there are no immediate plans to manage or develop the area. While daunting in scale the area offers immense potential, in the first instance through systematic recording, to provide insights into the growth and development and the complexity of the penal colony over the second half of the 19th century.

Discussion

Each of the sites discussed above are extremely important tangible evidence of the establishment and early development of the colony of New Caledonia. They provide insight into the early relationships between Kanak and Europeans and the present land tenure of southern Grand Terre and the Isle of Pins; they offer historical insights into specific into administration and punishment in the penal colony; and they provide tangible evidence of the ancestry of many New Caledonians and a focus for burgeoning consciousness of and pride in New Caledonia’s convict past.

Recognition of the heritage values of these places has been accompanied by well intentioned conservation works that have sought to preserve the tangible evidence of specific components of the convict system and to present this to visitors. While the conservation outcomes may not reflect best practice, these efforts have served to raise the awareness of historic heritage in New Caledonia and the potential of sites such as these to contribute to the writing of a shared history for the country. Alongside this, as elsewhere across the globe, rapid urban development in and around Noumea is increasingly being recognised as contributing to the loss of historic built fabric, galvanizing the Southern Province to initiate discussion toward development of heritage conservation policy and legislation.

Undertaken in this context, in our brief survey of convict period heritage places in New Caledonia we were struck by the richness of this heritage, the many different expressions of this heritage in the landscapes of southern Grand Terre and the Isle of Pins and the excellent state of conservation of many of these places and in particular those ‘untouched’ places which have not yet been subject to development pressures or the focus of tourism. Transportation to New Caledonia ceased in 1897 although the incarceration of deportés continued until the 1920s. Notwithstanding more than eighty years of post-convict history in New Caledonia, the patterned cultural landscape continues to reflect the early establishment of transport routes, agricultural areas and various functional components of the colonial system.

The sites in this paper are all nodes in that system. They individually have much potential to provide insights into the daily life and events at these places and the people who lived and worked at, were imprisoned and buried at these places through systematic recording, archaeological and archival research. In particular the very large and complex sites of Nouville and Oro have much to offer as the subject of such detailed research for many years to come.

These sites are however the later stage in the process of construction and the development of institutional regimes that took place in the colony and more generally in France over a period of 50 years. The current focus of conservation efforts on a limited number of extant structures tends to emphasise only the later period in the life of the penal colony and conflates evidence from all periods. This makes it difficult to interpret the evolution of the site through the extant fabric. As the work of Christophe Sand and the Department of Archaeology has demonstrated much is to be learnt about the development of these places, especially their establishment and early form, from archaeological research. In the absence of detailed research, conservation works at these sites, especially those that involve destruction of original fabric, will limit (and have limited) the potential for future research and understanding of New Caledonia’s early colonial history.

The sites discussed above each tell an important part of New Caledonia’s history however we consider that as heritage places, their historical and contemporary social significance will be best realised when they are envisaged as expressions of the larger social and economic system operating in New Caledonia in the second half of the 19th century that gave rise to many forms of tangible evidence in the landscape some of which is ephemeral. Such an approach should frame the development of conservation management plans for individual sites.

The recognition and management of convict heritage in New Caledonia is at an early stage. We trust that, coupled with the emergence of a shared national identity this will provide the opportunity for a broad-based, coordinated and community focused approach to identifying, recording and managing this colonial heritage that respects the histories of all.
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4 This restoration has been undertaken with the best intentions of those involved but in the process original fabric has been lost along with important evidence of stages in the construction of the Fort. This ‘cleaning up of the site’ is reminiscent of approaches to conservation in Australian heritage sites during the 1970s.